arXiv:math/0610449v2 [math.RT] 2 Apr 2007

NOTES ON AFFINE CANONICAL AND MONOMIAL BASES

YIQIANG LI

ABSTRACT. We investigate the affine canonical basis ([L2]) and the monomial basis constructed in [LXZ] in Lusztig's geometric setting. We show that the transition matrix between the two bases is upper triangular with 1's in the diagonal and coefficients in the upper diagonal entries in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[v, v^{-1}]$. As a consequence, we show that part of the monomial basis elements give rise to resolutions of support varieties of the affine canonical basis elements as simple perverse sheaves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbf{U}^-$ be the negative part of the quantized enveloping algebra associated to a Cartan matrix C. The canonical basis $\mathbf{B}$ for $\mathbf{U}^-$ was first constructed by Lusztig in [L1] when C is symmetric and positive definite. In [L1], Lusztig investigated the algebra $\mathbf{U}^-$ in three settings: algebraic, quiver and geometric, where the canonical basis can be constructed from. Here the terminology "geometric" means that the theory of perverse sheaves ([BBD]) is used, "quiver" means that we need to work on the setting of Ringel-Hall algebras defined in [R], and "algebraic" means that it is purely Lie theoretic, i.e., no algebraic geometry or quiver representation results are used. In fact, Lusztig first defined $\mathbf{B}$ algebraically in [L1]. Then he studied $\mathbf{B}$ in the framework of Ringel-Hall algebras and showed that $\mathbf{B}$ is really a basis of $\mathbf{U}^-$. The geometric situation is finally brought in and produces various remarkable properties of $\mathbf{B}$, for example, positivity and integrality.

The geometric approach to construct the canonical basis $\mathbf{B}$ was further studied in [L2] for C symmetric and in [L5] for C arbitrary. The algebraic approach was further studied in [BCP] for C affine. (See [K] for a different (algebraic) approach, Kashiwara's approach works for arbitrary C. Note that the canonical basis $\mathbf{B}$ coincides with Kashiwara's global crystal basis in [K], as was shown in [GL].) Recently, the quiver approach was carried out by Z. Lin, J. Xiao and G. Zhang in [LXZ] for C affine and symmetric. In [LXZ], a PBW-basis $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ is constructed by using the representation theory of affine quivers. The bar involution is upper triangular with the diagonal entries equal to 1 with respect to the PBW-basis $E_{\mathcal{M}}$. By a method of Lusztig ([L1]), one can deduce a unique bar invariant basis $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that the transition matrix between $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is upper triangular with entries in the diagonal equal to 1 and entries above the diagonal in $v^{-1}\mathbb{Q}[v^{-1}]$. In [N], Nakajima defined a modified PBW-basis $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ (of $E_{\mathcal{M}}$). The bar involution on the basis $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ has the same property as that on $E_{\mathcal{M}}$. Again by applying Lusztig's method on Nakajima's PBW-basis $L_{\mathcal{M}}$, one still has a bar invariant basis. Nakajima conjectured in [N] that the latter bar invariant basis coincides with Lusztig's affine canonical basis.

This paper is an attempt to understand the construction of the PBW-basis $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ and the bar invariant basis $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ in [LXZ] in Lusztig's geometric setting. Unlike the situation of quivers of finite type, the geometric counterpart of elements in $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ are not in Lusztig's algebra. Instead, we study the monomial basis $\mathcal{F}$ in [LXZ] in

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, Secondary 16G20.

Key words and phrases. Canonical basis, monomial basis, perverse sheaf, quantum affine algebra.

the geometric setting. It turns out that the transition matrix M between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ is upper triangular, the entries in the diagonal are 1 and the entries above the diagonal are in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[v, v^{-1}]$. (This implies that the transition matrix between $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is upper triangular with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ and 1's in the diagonal entries.) Moreover, certain monomials in $\mathcal{F}$ provide the resolutions of the singularities of the support varieties of affine canonical basis elements (regarded as simple perverse sheaves).

In order to prove that the upper triangularity of the two bases $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, the representation-directed property of the representation theory of affine quivers is essentially used. The proof is an adaption of Lusztig's argument in [L3]. It is somewhat simplified by using a lemma in [Re].

In Section 2, we review the theory of affine quivers. We give a brief description of the classification of indecomposable representations of affine quivers. In Section 3, we review Lusztig's geometric realization of the canonical basis. In Section 4, we study some monomials in special orders, which will be used as components in making the monomial basis $\mathcal{F}$. Then we identify the monomial basis $\mathcal{F}$ with the monomial basis $\{\mathbf{m_c} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{M}\}$ in [LXZ]. In the last section, we investigate the relationship between $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. Finally, we draw the conclusions of the major results in this paper.

Acknowledgements. We thank Professor Z. Lin for giving the preprints [N] and [LXZ] and suggesting the problem to the author. The author is indebted to Professor H. Nakajima, who pointed out several mistakes in this paper and explained in details his conjecture in [N] to the author. We also thank Professor J. Xiao for informing the author his recent progress on Nakajima's conjecture.

2. REPRESENTATION THEORY OF AFFINE QUIVERS

We give a review of representation theory of affine quivers.

2.1. **Graphs, Root systems and Weyl groups.** Let I be a set. Denote by $\mathbf{I}$ the set consisting of all two-element subsets of I. A *graph* is a triple $\Gamma = (I, H, e : H \to \mathbf{I})$ where I and H are finite sets and e is a map. We call I (resp. H) the *vertex* (resp. *edge*) set of Γ.

All graphs considered in this paper will be of affine type $A_n^{(1)}$ $(n \geq 2)$, $D_n^{(1)}$ $(n \geq 4)$ *and* $E_n^{(1)}$ $(n = 6, 7, 8)$.

The *symmetric Euler form* $(,) : \mathbb{Z}[I] \times \mathbb{Z}[I] \to \mathbb{Z}$ associated to a given graph $\Gamma = (I, H, e)$ is defined by

$$(i, i) = 2 \quad \text{for all } i \in I. \tag{1}$$

$$(i, j) = -\#\{h \in H \mid e(h) = \{i, j\}\} \quad \text{for all } i \neq j \in I. \tag{2}$$

A nonzero element α in $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ is called a *root* if $(\alpha, \alpha) \leq 2$. Denote by R the set of all roots. Let $R_+ = R \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}[I]$ and $R_- = -R_+$. It is well-known that

$$R = R_+ \sqcup R_-.$$

One can check that $(\alpha, \alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus if α is a root, then $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ or 2. A root is called *real* (resp. *imaginary*) if $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ (resp. 0). Denote by R_+^{re} (resp. R_+^{im}) the set of all real (resp. imaginary) positive roots. It is well-known that all imaginary positive roots are of the form $n\delta$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\delta \in R_+$. δ is called the minimal imaginary positive root. An *extending* vertex $i \in I$ is a vertex such that $\delta_i = 1$.

Given any $i \in I$, we denote by s_i the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ given by

$$s_i : \alpha \mapsto \alpha - (\alpha, i)i$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$. Note that s_i is involutive, i.e., $s_i s_i = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[I]}$. The bilinear form $(,)$ is s_i-invariant for all $i \in I$. The *Weyl group* W of Γ is the subgroup of the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ generated by s_i for all $i \in I$.

2.2. **Quivers.** Let $\Gamma = (I, H, e)$ be a graph. An *orientation* of Γ is a pair of maps $s, t : H \to I$ such that $\{s(h), t(h)\} = e(h)$ for all $h \in H$. In other words, the pair (s, t) gives an orientation for each edge in H.

The graph Γ equipped with the orientation (s, t) will be called a *quiver*, denoted by $Q = (I, H, e, s, t)$. We call Γ the *underlying graph* of Q. A quiver is called *affine* (or *tame*) if its underlying graph Γ is affine.

All quivers considered in this paper will be affine.

Given any $h \in H$, we call $s(h)$ (resp. $t(h)$) the *starting* (resp. *terminating*) vertex of the edge h. We also call edges in H *arrows* in Q. Pictorially, we write $h : s(h) \to t(h)$ or $s(h) \xrightarrow{h} t(h)$ for indicating the starting and terminating vertex of h.

Note that once the pair (s, t) is given, the map e is then completely determined. We simply write $Q = (I, H, s, t)$ for a quiver.

An *oriented cycle* in Q is a sequence of arrows $h_1, \cdots, h_m$ such that $t(h_1) = s(h_2)$, $t(h_2) = s(h_3)$, $\cdots$, $t(h_{m-1}) = s(h_m)$ and $t(h_m) = s(h_1)$. A *cyclic quiver* is a quiver $Q = (I, H, s, t)$ of type $A_n^{(1)}$, where we order I as $1, \cdots n$ and H as $h_1, \cdots h_n$, such that $s(h_m) = m$ and $t(h_m) = m + 1$ for all $m = 1, \cdots n$ (we set $n + 1 = 1$). Since Q is always affine in this paper, Q has no oriented cycles except that Q is a cyclic quiver.

Given any quiver $Q = (I, H, s, t)$, the *Euler form* of Q:

$$<,>: \mathbb{Z}[I] \times \mathbb{Z}[I] \to \mathbb{Z}$$

is defined by

$$< \alpha, \beta >= \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i - \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_{s(h)} \beta_{t(h)}$$

for any $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \, i, \beta = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i \, i \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$. By definitions, we have

$$(\alpha, \beta) =< \alpha, \beta > + < \beta, \alpha > \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[I]. \tag{3}$$

Given any $i \neq j \in I$, we set

$$a_{ij} = \#\{h \in H \mid s(h) = i, t(h) = j\}.$$

2.3. **Representation of affine quivers.** Fix an algebraically closed field k.

A *representation* of Q over k is a pair (V, x) where $V = \oplus_{i \in I} V_i$ is an I-graded k-vector space and x is a collection of k-linear maps $x_h : V_{s(h)} \to V_{t(h)}$ for all $h \in H$.

For two given representations $\mathbf{V} = (V, x)$ and $\mathbf{W} = (W, y)$, a *morphism* ϕ between $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ is a collection of linear maps $\phi_i : V_i \to W_i$ for all $i \in I$ such that $\phi_{t(h)} x_h = y_h \phi_{s(h)}$ for all $h \in H$.

These define an abelian category, denoted by $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$, whose objects are representations of Q and morphisms are morphisms between representations.

A *nilpotent* representation $\mathbf{V}$ is a representation satisfying the condition: there exists N such that for any $h_1, \cdots, h_N$ in H satisfying $s(h_m) = t(h_{m-1})$ ($m = 2, \cdots, N$)) then the composition

$$x_{h_N} x_{h_{N-1}} \cdots x_{h_1} : V_{s(h_1)} \to V_{t(h_N)}$$

is a zero map. Denote by $\mathrm{Nil}(Q)$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ consisting of all nilpotent representations. If Q has no oriented cycles, $\mathrm{Nil}(Q)$ and $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ coincide.

The *dimension* of a representation $\mathbf{V} = (V, x)$ of Q is $\sum_{i \in I} \dim V_i \; i \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, denoted by $|\mathbf{V}|$ or $|(V, x)|$. Given any two representations $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ of Q, we write $\mathrm{Hom}_Q(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Q(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$ for $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Rep}(Q)}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{Rep}(Q)}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$, respectively.

Given any representation $\mathbf{V}=(V,x)$ and $\mathbf{W}=(W,y)$, the following sequence is exact:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_Q(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}) \overset{a}{\hookrightarrow} \oplus_{i\in I}\mathrm{Hom}_k(V_i,W_i) \xrightarrow{b} \oplus_{h\in H}\mathrm{Hom}(V_{s(h)},W_{t(h)}) \overset{c}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Ext}^1_Q(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$$

where $a:\phi\mapsto\oplus_{i\in I}\phi_i$, $b:\oplus_{i\in I}\phi_i\mapsto\oplus_{h\in H}(\phi_{t(h)}x_h-y_h\phi_{s(h)})$ and

$$c:\oplus_{h\in H}\psi_h\mapsto(0\to\mathbf{V}\to\mathbf{E}\to\mathbf{W}\to0)$$

with $\mathbf{E}=\left(\oplus_{i\in I}V_i\oplus W_i,\oplus_{h\in H}\begin{pmatrix}x_h & 0\\ \psi_h & y_h\end{pmatrix}\right)$. Here the hook (resp. double head) arrow " $\hookrightarrow$ " (resp. " $\twoheadrightarrow$ ") represents that a (resp. b) is injective (resp. surjective).

From the exact sequence above, we have

Proposition 2.4. (1) $<|\mathbf{V}|,|\mathbf{W}|>=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_Q(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})-\dim\mathrm{Ext}^1_Q(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$.

(2) $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ *is hereditary, i.e., the extension groups* $\mathrm{Ext}^n_Q(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$ *vanish for any* $\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W}\in\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ *and* $n\geq 2$.

Remark. Proposition 2.4 (1) justifies why the the form $<,>$ defined in Section 2.2 is called Euler form.

2.5. **BGP-reflection functors.** A vertex $i\in I$ is called a *sink* (resp., a *source*) if $i\in\{s(h),t(h)\}$ implies $t(h)=i$ (resp., $s(h)=i$) for any $h\in H$.

For any $i\in I$, let $\sigma_iQ=(I,H,s',t')$ be the quiver whose underlying graph is the same as Q and whose orientation (s',t') is defined by

$$s'(h)=s(h) \text{ and } t'(h)=t(h) \text{ for all } h\in H \text{ such that } i\notin\{s(h),t(h)\};$$
$$s'(h)=t(h) \text{ and } t'(h)=s(h) \text{ for all } h\in H \text{ such that } i\in\{s(h),t(h)\}.$$

In other words, σ_iQ is the quiver obtained by reversing the arrows in Q that start or terminate at i.

Assume that i is a sink. We set

$$H_i^+=\{h\in H\mid t(h)=i\}.$$

For any representation (V,x) of Q, we set

$$V_{H_i^+}=\oplus_{h\in H_i^+}V_{s(h)} \quad\text{and}\quad x_i^+=\oplus_{h\in H_i^+}x_h:V_{H_i^+}\to V_i.$$

We also denote by $\mathrm{Ker}(x_i^+)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Im}(x_i^+)$) the kernel (resp. image) of x_i^+. The *BGP-reflection functor*

$$\Phi_i^+:\mathrm{Rep}(Q)\to\mathrm{Rep}(\sigma_iQ)$$

with respect to i is defined in the following way. For any $(V,x)\in\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$, $\Phi_i^+(V,x)=(W,y)\in\mathrm{Rep}(\sigma_iQ)$, where $W=\oplus_{j\in I}W_j$ with $W_j=V_j$ if $j\neq i$ and $W_i=\ker(x_i^+)$ and $y=(y_h\mid h\in H)$ with $y_h=x_h$ if $h\notin H_i^+$ and y_h is the composition of the maps $W_i\hookrightarrow V_{H_i^+}\twoheadrightarrow W_{t'(h)}$ if $h\in H_i^+$. Note that the assignments extend to a functor.

Similarly, assume that i is a source. Let $H_i^-=\{h\in H\mid s(h)=i\}$. For any $(V,x)\in\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$, we set $V_{H_i^-}=\oplus_{h\in H_i^-}V_{t(h)}$ and $x_i^-=\oplus_{h\in H_i^-}x_h:V_i\to V_{H_i^+}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Coker}(x_i^-)$ the cokernel of x_i^-. The *BGP-reflection functor*

$$\Phi_i^-:\mathrm{Rep}(Q)\to\mathrm{Rep}(\sigma_iQ)$$

with respect to i is defined in the following way. For any $(V,x)\in\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$, $\Phi_i^-(V,x)=(W,y)\in\mathrm{Rep}(\sigma_iQ)$, where $W_j=V_j$ if $j\neq i$, $W_i=\mathrm{Coker}(x_i^+)$, $y_h=x_h$ if $h\notin H_i^-$ and y_h is the composition of the maps $W_{s'(h)}\hookrightarrow V_{H_i^-}\twoheadrightarrow W_i$ if $h\in H_i^+$. Note that the assignments again extend to a functor.

Assume that i is a sink. For a given representation $\mathbf{V}=(V,x)$, let $\mathbf{V}(i)=(W,y)$ be a representation of Q such that $W_j=0$ for $j\neq i$ and $W_i=V_i/\mathrm{Im}(x_i^+)$ and $y\equiv 0$. From the construction of Φ_i^+ and Φ_i^-, one can deduce that (see [BGP])

$$\mathbf{V}\simeq \Phi_i^-\Phi_i^+(\mathbf{V})\oplus \mathbf{V}(i). \tag{4}$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_i^+(Q)$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ whose objects are representations such that x_i^+ is surjective. Similar, when i is a source, denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_i^-(Q)$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ whose objects are representations such that x_i^- is injective. From the definitions and (4), one has

Proposition 2.6. *Assume that i is a sink, then*

1. $\Phi_i^+(\mathbf{V})\in \mathrm{Rep}_i^-(\sigma_iQ)$ *for any* $\mathbf{V}\in\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$.
2. $|\Phi_i^+(\mathbf{V})|=s_i(|\mathbf{V}|)$ *for any* $\mathbf{V}\in\mathrm{Rep}_i^+(Q)$.
3. *The restriction* $\Phi_i^+:\mathrm{Rep}_i^+(Q)\to\mathrm{Rep}_i^-(\sigma_iQ)$ *defines an equivalence of categories. Its inverse is* $\Phi_i^-:\mathrm{Rep}_i^-(\sigma_iQ)\to\mathrm{Rep}_i^+(Q)$.

2.7. **Classification of indecomposable representations.** Assume that Q is not a cyclic quiver. Then Q has no oriented cycles. We can order the vertex set I ($|I|=n+1$) of Q in a way, say

$$i_0, i_1, \cdots, i_n$$

such that i_0 is a sink of Q, i_1 is a sink of $\sigma_{i_0}Q$, $\cdots$, i_j is a sink of $\sigma_{i_{j-1}}\cdots\sigma_{i_0}Q$, $\cdots$. In other words, i_n is a source of Q, i_{n-1} is a source of $\sigma_{i_n}Q$, $\cdots$, i_j is a source of $\sigma_{i_{j+1}}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}Q$, $\cdots$. Since there is no oriented cycles in Q, one can show by induction (see [BGP]) that

$$Q=\sigma_{i_n}\cdots\sigma_{i_0}Q \quad\text{and}\quad Q=\sigma_{i_0}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}Q.$$

Define the Coxeter functor $\Phi^+:\mathrm{Rep}(Q)\to\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ (resp. $\Phi^-:\mathrm{Rep}(Q)\to\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$) to be the composition of functors

$$\Phi^+=\Phi_{i_n}^+\circ\cdots\circ\Phi_{i_1}^+\Phi_{i_0}^+ \quad (\text{resp. } \Phi^-=\Phi_{i_0}^-\Phi_{i_1}^-\circ\cdots\circ\Phi_{i_n}^-).$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Ind}(Q)$ the set of all isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations in $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$. For any representation $\mathbf{V}$, we write $[\mathbf{V}]$ for its isomorphism class. We identify $\mathrm{Ind}(Q)$ with the set of representatives of indecomposable representations in $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$. By abusing of notation, we write $\mathbf{V}\in\mathrm{Ind}(Q)$ for $[\mathbf{V}]\in\mathrm{Ind}(Q)$.

An indecomposable representation $\mathbf{V}$ is called *preprojective* if $(\Phi^+)^m(\mathbf{V})=0$ for m large enough; *preinjective* if $(\Phi^-)^m(\mathbf{V})=0$ for m large enough and *regular* if $(\Phi^+)^m(\mathbf{V})\neq 0$ for arbitrary m.

A regular indecomposable representation $\mathbf{V}$ is called *homogeneous* if $\Phi^+(\mathbf{V})\simeq\mathbf{V}$ and *inhomogeneous* if $\Phi^+(\mathbf{V})\not\simeq\mathbf{V}$.

A representation $\mathbf{V}$ is called preprojective if all the indecomposable summands of $\mathbf{V}$ are preprojective. We define a representation to be preinjective, regular, homogeneous regular and inhomogeneous regular in a similar way.

For any inhomogeneous regular indecomposable representation $\mathbf{V}$, there exists p such that $(\Phi^+)^p(\mathbf{V})\simeq\mathbf{V}$ (see [BGP]). The *period* of an inhomogeneous regular representation $\mathbf{V}$ is the smallest positive integer p such that $(\Phi^+)^p(\mathbf{V})\simeq\mathbf{V}$.

Let S_i be the representation corresponding to the vertex i. It's a representation (V,x) where $V_i=k$, $V_j=0$ if $j\neq i$, and $x\equiv 0$. Clearly, S_i is a simple object in $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$. Moreover, the set $\{S_i \mid i\in I\}$ is a complete list of pairwise non isomorphic simple representations in $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$. Note that given a graph, the definition of the simple representation works for any orientation of the graph. By abuse of notation, we always denote by S_i the simple representation corresponding to the vertex i regardless of the orientation.

We set

$$P_0 = S_{i_0}, P_1 = \Phi^-_{i_0}(S_{i_1}), \cdots, P_n = \Phi^-_{i_0}\Phi^-_{i_1}\cdots\Phi^-_{i_{n-1}}(S_{i_n}).$$

Then the set $\{P_m \mid m = 0, \cdots, n\}$ is a complete set of pairwise non isomorphic projective representations of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$. For any $m > n$, m can be written as $m = (n+1)p + q$ with p and q positive integers and $0 \leq q \leq n$. We set

$$P_m = (\Phi^-)^p(P_q).$$

Then the set

$$\mathscr{P} = \{P_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

forms a complete set of pairwise non isomorphic preprojective representations of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$.

Similarly, we set

$$I_n = S_{i_n}, I_{n-1} = \Phi^+_{i_n}(S_{i_{n-1}}), \cdots, I_0 = \Phi^+_{i_n}\cdots\Phi^+_{i_1}\Phi^+_{i_0}(S_{i_0}).$$

Then the set $\{I_m \mid m = 0, \cdots, n\}$ is a complete set of pairwise non isomorphic injective representations of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$. For any $m < 0$, m can be written as $m = -((n+1)p + q)$ with $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and q positive integers and $0 \leq q \leq n$. We set

$$I_m = (\Phi^+)^p(I_q).$$

Then the set

$$\mathscr{I} = \{I_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}\}$$

forms a complete set of pairwise non isomorphic preinjective representations of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$.

Let $\mathrm{Reg}(Q)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ whose objects are regular representations. Then $\mathrm{Reg}(Q)$ is an extension-closed full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$. Moreover, the restriction of Φ^+ on $\mathrm{Reg}(Q)$ is an equivalence of categories. Φ^- is its inverse.

The simple objects in $\mathrm{Reg}(Q)$ are called *regular simple* representations. For each regular simple representation T and $m \geq 1$, there is a unique (up to an isomorphism) regular indecomposable representation, denoted by $R_{T,m}$, such that the composition factors of $R_{T,m}$ are T, $\Phi^+(T), \cdots, (\Phi^+)^m(T)$. Moreover, all regular indecomposable representations are obtained this way (see [DR]).

Assume that T is a regular simple representation of period p. The set

$$\{T, \cdots, (\Phi^+)^{p-1}T\}$$

is called the Φ^+-*orbit* of T. Furthermore,

$$|T| + |\Phi^+(T)| + \cdots + |\Phi^+)^{p-1}(T)| = \delta.$$

Note that $|(\Phi^+)^r(T)|$ is a positive root of finite type, thus $(\Phi^+)^r(T)$ has no self-extension for $r = 0, \cdots, p-1$ ([FMV]). The *tube* $\mathscr{T} = \mathscr{T}_T$ is the set of all indecomposable regular representations whose regular composition factors belong to this orbit. Any regular indecomposable representation belongs to a tube. Any representation in a tube has the same period, which is called the period of the tube. All but finitely many tubes have period one. Tubes with period one will be called *homogeneous* tubes and tubes with period > 1 will be called *inhomogeneous* tubes. In fact, the number of inhomogeneous tubes is ≤ 3 ([DR]). We denote them by $\mathscr{T}_1, \cdots, \mathscr{T}_s$ $(s \leq 3)$. The indecomposable representations in $\mathscr{T}_i$ will be denoted by $T_{i,a,l}$ where $a = 1, \cdots p_i$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Here p_i is the period of $\mathscr{T}_i$. Their relations are governed by $T_{i,a+1,l} = \Phi^+(T_{i,a,l})$ for $a = 1, \cdots, p_i$ $(p_i + 1 := 1)$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathscr{T})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ whose objects are direct sums of indecomposable representations in $\mathscr{T}$.

Given any representation $M \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathscr{T}_i)$ with $\mathscr{T}_i$ of period p_i, M is called *aperiodic* if for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, not all the representations

$$T_{i,1,l}, T_{i,2,l}, \cdots, T_{i,p_i,l}$$

are direct summands of M. From the above analysis, one can show

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $\mathbf{V}$ *and* $\mathbf{W} \in \mathrm{Ind}(Q)$ *be one of the following cases.*

- $\mathbf{V} = I_m$ *and* $\mathbf{W} = I_{m'}$ *for* $m \leq m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}$.
- $\mathbf{V} = P_m$ *and* $\mathbf{W} = P_{m'}$ *for* $m \leq m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- $\mathbf{V}$ *and* $\mathbf{W}$ *are both regular, but they are in different tubes.*
- $\mathbf{V}$ *is non preinjective and* $\mathbf{W}$ *is preinjective.*
- $\mathbf{V}$ *is preprojective and* $\mathbf{W}$ *is non preprojective.*

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_Q(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = 0. \tag{5}$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_Q(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}) = 0 \quad \textit{if } \mathbf{V} \textit{ and } \mathbf{W} \textit{ are not isomorphic.} \tag{6}$$

The following lemma is proved in [Re].

Lemma 2.9. *Assume that* $\mathbf{V}(1) = (V(1), x(1)), \cdots, \mathbf{V}(s) = (V(s), x(s))$ *in* $\mathrm{Rep}(Q)$ *satisfy* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Q(\mathbf{V}(k), \mathbf{V}(l)) = 0$ *for all* $k < l$. *Suppose that*

$$\mathbf{V}^\bullet = (V = V^0 \supseteq V^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V^s = 0)$$

is a flag such that $|V^{l-1}/V^l| = |V(l)|$ *for all* $l = 1, \cdots, s$. *If* $x \in \mathrm{E}_V$ *stabilizes* $\mathbf{V}^\bullet$ *and the restriction* $x|_{V^{l-1}/V^l}$ *of* x *to* V^{l-1}/V^l *is in the closure of the* $\mathrm{G}_{V(l)}$*-orbit* $O_{x(l)}$ *of* $x(l)$ *for* $l = 1, \cdots s$, *then* x *is in the closure of* $x(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus x(s)$.

Moreover, if $\mathrm{Hom}_Q(V(k), V(l)) = 0$ *for* $k > l$ *and* $(V, x) \simeq (V, x(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus x(s))$, *then* $x|_{V^{l-1}/V^l}$ *is in* $O_{x(l)}$ *for any* $l = 1, \cdots, s$ *and* x *fixes a unique flag of type* $(|V(1)|, \cdots, |V(s)|)$.

3. Lusztig's geometric realization of the canonical basis

We give a review of Lusztig's geometric construction of the canonical basis.

3.1. **Representation spaces of Q.** Let k be a fixed algebraically closed field. Let $V = \oplus_{i \in I} V_i$ be an I-graded k vector space. Let $|V|$ be its dimension $\sum_{i \in I} \dim V_i \, i \in \mathbb{N}[I]$. We set

$$\mathrm{E}_V = \oplus_{h \in H} \mathrm{Hom}(V_{s(h)}, V_{t(h)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{G}_V = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Aut}(V_i) \tag{7}$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(V_i)$ is the group of all linear isomorphism of V_i.

For any $g = (g_i \mid i \in I) \in \mathrm{G}_V$, $x = (x_h \mid h \in H) \in \mathrm{E}_V$, define $g.x = ((g.x)_h \mid h \in h)$ by

$$(g.x)_h = g_{t(h)} \, x_h \, g^{-1}_{s(h)}$$

for any $h \in H$. This defines a G_V action on E_V.

Note that given any $x \in \mathrm{E}_V$, the pair (V, x) is a representation of Q. The isomorphism classes of representations of Q of dimension $|V|$ is then in one-to-one correspondence with the G_V-orbits in E_V.

3.2. **Flag varieties.** Given any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, we define the set $\mathcal{S}_\nu$ to be the set consists of all sequences

$$\mathbf{s} = (s_1 \, i_1, \cdots, s_n \, i_n)$$

such that $\sum_m s_m \, i_m = \nu$.

Fix a V such that $|V| = \nu$. For any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$, we say that a flag

$$V^\bullet = (V = V^0 \supseteq V^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V^n = 0)$$

is of type $\mathbf{s}$ if $|V^{m-1}/V^m| = s_m \, i_m$, for all $m = 1, \cdots n$.

we define the variety $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$ to be the variety consisting of all flags of type $\mathbf{s}$. Note that G_V acts transitively on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$.

Given $x \in \mathrm{E}_V$, $V^\bullet \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$, we say that $V^\bullet$ is x-stable if $x_h(V^m_{s(h)}) \subseteq V^m_{t(h)}$ for any $h \in H$ and $m = 1, \cdots, n$.

We define $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}$ to be the variety consisting of all pairs $(x, V^\bullet) \in \mathrm{E}_V \times \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$ such that $V^\bullet$ is x-stable. Note that G_V acts on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}$ naturally. We have

Lemma 3.3. (1) *The variety $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is smooth, irreducible, projective variety of dimension*

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}} = \sum_{m > m' :\, i_m = i_{m'}} s_m\, s_{m'}.$$

(2) *The variety $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is smooth, irreducible variety of dimension*

$$\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}} = \sum_{m > m' :\, i_m = i_{m'}} s_m\, s_{m'} + \sum_{h \in H :\, m \leq m'} a_{ij}\, s_m\, s_{m'}.$$

(3) *The first projection $\pi_{\mathbf{s}} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}} \to \mathrm{E}_V$ $((x, V^\bullet) \mapsto x)$ is a G_V-equivariant, proper morphism.*

For a proof, see [L2].

3.4. **Notations.** We fix some notations, most of them are taken from [L5].

Fix a prime l that is invertible in k. Given any algebraic variety X over k, denote by $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the bounded derived category of complexes of l-adic sheaves on X ([BBD]). Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consisting of all perverse sheaves on X ([BBD]).

Let G be a connected algebraic group. Assume that G acts on X algebraically. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_G(X)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consisting of all G-equivariant complexes over X. Similarly, denote by $\mathcal{M}_G(X)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ consisting of all G-equivariant perverse sheaves ([L5]). Let Y be a smooth, locally closed, irreducible G-invariant subvariety of X and $\mathcal{L}$ an irreducible, G-equivariant, local system on Y. Denote by $j : Y \to X$ the natural embedding. From [BBD] and [BL], the complex

$$\mathrm{IC}(Y, \mathcal{L}) := j_{!\star}(\mathcal{L})[\dim Y]$$

is a simple G-equivariant perverse sheaf on X. Moreover, all simple G-equivariant perverse sheaves on X are of this form.

Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ be an algebraic closure of the field of l-adic numbers. By abuse of notation, denote by $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l = (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_X$ the complex concentrated on degree zero, corresponding to the constant l-adic sheaf over X. For any complex $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $K[n]$ be the complex such that $K[n]^i = K^{n+i}$ and the differential is multiplied by a factor $(-1)^n$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(X)[n]$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ whose objects are of the form $K[n]$ with $K \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. For any $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $L \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$, denote by $K \boxtimes L$ the external tensor product of K and L in $\mathcal{D}(X \times Y)$.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of varieties, denote by $f^* : \mathcal{D}(Y) \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $f_! : \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathcal{D}(Y)$ the inverse image functor and the direct image functor with compact support, respectively.

If G acts on X algebraically and f is a principal G-bundle, then f^* induces a functor (still denote by f^*) of equivalence between $\mathcal{M}(Y)[\dim G]$ and $\mathcal{M}_G(X)$. Its inverse functor is denoted by $f_\flat : \mathcal{M}_G(X) \to \mathcal{M}(Y)[\dim G]$ ([L5]).

3.5. **Semisimple complexes on** E_V**.** Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ be the constant sheaf on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}$. By Lemma 3.3 (2), the complex $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l\,[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}]$ is a G_V-equivariant simple perverse sheaf on $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}$. So by Lemma 3.3 (3), the complex

$$L_{\mathbf{s}} := (\pi_{\mathbf{s}})_!\,(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l\,[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}])$$

is a G_V-equivariant semisimple complex on E_V.

Example 3.6. Let V be an I-graded vector space over k of dimension $mi \in \mathbb{N}[I]$. By definition, E_V contains a single element 0. Let $\mathbf{s} = (ni)$. Then $L_{\mathbf{s}}$ is the constant sheaf on E_V. We write $F(ni)$ for $L_{\mathbf{s}}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_V$ be the set of all isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves on E_V appearing as direct summands with possible shifts in $L_{\mathbf{s}}$, for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$. Here $|V| = \nu$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}_V$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{E}_V)$ whose objects are finite direct sum of shifts of simple perverse sheaves coming from $\mathcal{P}_V$. Note that all complexes in $\mathcal{Q}_V$ are semisimple and G_V-equivariant.

Let $\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{E}_T \times \mathrm{E}_W)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{E}_T \times \mathrm{E}_W)$ whose objects are finite direct sum of shifts of the simple perverse sheaves of the form $K \boxtimes L$ for all $K \in \mathcal{Q}_T$ and $L \in \mathcal{Q}_W$.

3.7. **Lusztig's induction functors.** Let $W \subseteq V$ be an I-graded subspace. Let $T = V/W$ and $p : V \to T$ the natural projection. We sometimes write p_T to avoid confusion.

Given any $x \in \mathrm{E}_V$, W is called x-stable if $x_h(W_{s(h)}) \subseteq W_{t(h)}$, for all $h \in H$.

If W is x-stable, it induces two elements x_W and x_T in E_W and E_T respectively as follows. $(x_W)_h$ is the restriction of x_h to W, for all $h \in H$. $(x_T)_h$ is defined such that $p_{t(h)}\, x_h = (x_T)_h\, p_{s(h)}$ for all $h \in H$.

We consider the following diagram

$$(*) \qquad \mathrm{E}_T \times \mathrm{E}_W \xleftarrow{q_1} \mathrm{E}' \xrightarrow{q_2} \mathrm{E}'' \xrightarrow{q_3} \mathrm{E}_V,$$

where $\mathrm{E}'' = \{(x, V') \mid V' \text{ is } x\text{-stable}, |V'| = |W|\}$;

E' is the variety consisting of all quadruples (x, V', r', r'') such that (x, V') is in E'', $r' : V/V' \to T$ and $r'' : V' \to W$ are graded linear isomorphisms.

$q_3 : (x, V') \mapsto x$, $q_2 : (x, V', r', r'') \mapsto (x, V')$ and $q_1 : (x, V', r', r'') \mapsto (y', y'')$ with $y'_h = r'_{t(h)}\, (x_{V/V'})_h\, (r')^{-1}_{s(h)}$ and $y''_h = r''_{t(h)}\, (x_{V'})_h\, (r'')^{-1}_{s(h)}$, for all $h \in H$.

(For convenience, we write $y' = r' \cdot (x_{V/V'}) \cdot (r')^{-1}$ and $y'' = r'' \cdot (x_{V'}) \cdot (r'')^{-1}$.)

By definition, we have

(a) q_3 is proper;
(b) q_2 is $\mathrm{G}_T \times \mathrm{G}_W$-principal bundle of fiber dimension
 $d_2 = \sum_{i \in I}(|T_i|^2 + |W_i|^2)$;
(c) q_1 is smooth with connected fibers of fiber dimension
 $d_1 = \sum_{i \in I}(|T_i|^2 + |W_i|^2) + \sum_{h \in H} |T_{s(h)}|\, |W_{t(h)}| + \sum_{i \in I} |T_i|\, |W_i|$.

From diagram (*) and the above properties, we have a functor

$$(q_3)_!\, (q_2)_\flat\, q_1^* : \mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{E}_T \times \mathrm{E}_W) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{E}_V).$$

Given any $K \in \mathcal{Q}_T, L \in \mathcal{Q}_W$, we set

$$K \circ L = (q_3)_!\, (q_2)_\flat\, q_1^*(K \boxtimes L)\, [d_1 - d_2].$$

We have

Lemma 3.8. (1) *$K \circ L \in \mathcal{Q}_V$.*

(2) *$L_{\mathbf{s}'} \circ L_{\mathbf{s}''} = L_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''}$, where $\mathbf{s}' \in \mathcal{S}_\tau$ [$\tau = |T|$], $\mathbf{s}'' \in \mathcal{S}_\omega$ ($\omega = |W|$), $\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}'' \in \mathcal{S}_\nu$ ($\nu = \tau + \omega$) is the concatenation of the two sequences.*

Proof. We show that (2) holds first. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}''} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\mathbf{s}'} \times \pi_{\mathbf{s}''}"'] & \tilde{\mathrm{E}}' \arrow[l, "\tilde{q}_1"'] \arrow[r, "\tilde{q}_2"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''} \arrow[d, "\pi''"'] & \\
\mathrm{E}_T \times \mathrm{E}_W & \mathrm{E}' \arrow[l, "q_1"'] \arrow[r, "q_2"] & E'' \arrow[r, "q_3"] & E_V,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

(a) $\tilde{\mathrm{E}}'$ is the variety consisting of all quadruples $(x, V^\bullet, r', r'')$ such that $(x, V^\bullet)$ is in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''}$ and $r' : V/V^{l_0} \to T$, $r'' : V^{l_0} \to W$ are linear isomorphisms, where $V^{l_0} \in V^\bullet$ satisfying $|V^{l_0}| = |W|$, $|V/V^{l_0}| = |T|$.

(b) $\tilde{q}_1 : (x, V^\bullet, r', r'') \mapsto (y', T^\bullet), (y'', W^\bullet)$, where (y', y'') is defined as in the definition of q_1, $W^\bullet = r''(V^\bullet \cap V^{l_0})$ and $T^\bullet = r'(p_{V/V^{l_0}}(V^\bullet))$.

(c) $\tilde{q}_2 : (x, V^\bullet, r', r'') \mapsto (x, V^\bullet)$, $\pi' : (x, V^\bullet, r', r'') \mapsto (x, V^{l_0}, r', r'')$ and $\pi'' : (x, V^\bullet) \mapsto (x, V^{l_0})$.

One can check that the above squares are Cartesian. So we have

(d) $q_1^* \, (\pi_{\mathbf{s}'} \times \pi_{\mathbf{s}''})_* = \pi'_* \, (\tilde{q}_1)^*$.

(e) $\pi''_* \, (\tilde{q}_2)^* = (q_2)^* \, \pi''_*$.

(f) $\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}''} + d_1 = \dim \tilde{\mathrm{E}}' = \dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''} + d_2$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathbf{s}'} \circ L_{\mathbf{s}''} &= (q_3)_! \, (q_2)_\flat \, q_1^* \, (L_{\mathbf{s}'} \boxtimes L_{\mathbf{s}''})[d_1 - d_2] \\
&\overset{\text{(d)}}{=} (q_3)_! \, (q_2)_\flat \, \pi'_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'} + \dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}''} + d_1 - d_2] \\
&\overset{\text{(e)}}{=} (q_3)_! \, (q_2)_\flat \, q_2^* \, \pi''_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'} + \dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}''} + d_1 - d_2] \\
&= (q_3)_! \pi''_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'} + \dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}''} + d_1 - d_2] \\
&= (\pi_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'} + \dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}''} + d_1 - d_2] \\
&\overset{\text{(f)}}{=} (\pi_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''}] = L_{\mathbf{s}'\mathbf{s}''}
\end{aligned}$$

So (2) follows.

(1) follows from (2). ☐

Given any $K \in \mathcal{Q}_X, L \in \mathcal{Q}_Y$ and $M \in \mathcal{Q}_Z$, we have

Lemma 3.9 (Associativity)**.** $(K \circ L) \circ M = K \circ (L \circ M)$.

Proof. Assume that $X \oplus Y = U$, $U \oplus Z = V$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathrm{E}_X \times \mathrm{E}_Y) \times \mathrm{E}_Z & D \arrow[l, "t"'] & & \\
\mathrm{E}'_{XY} \times \mathrm{E}_Z \arrow[u, "p_1"] \arrow[d, "p_2"'] & C \arrow[l, "s"'] \arrow[r, "o_1"] \arrow[u, "o_1"'] \arrow[d, "o_2"'] & D \arrow[d, "n_2"'] & \\
\mathrm{E}''_{XY} \times \mathrm{E}_Z \arrow[d, "p_3"'] & B \arrow[l, "r_1"'] \arrow[r, "r_2"] \arrow[d, "o_3"'] & A \arrow[d, "n_3"'] & \\
\mathrm{E}_U \times \mathrm{E}_Z & \mathrm{E}' \arrow[l, "q_1"'] \arrow[r, "q_2"] & \mathrm{E}'' \arrow[r, "q_3"] & \mathrm{E}_V,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the bottom row is the diagram (*) with T, W replaced by U, Z, respectively. The column to the left is the diagram (*) times E_Z with T, W replaced by X, Y. To avoid confusion, we write $\mathrm{E}'_{XY}, \mathrm{E}''_{XY}$ for $\mathrm{E}', \mathrm{E}''$.

A is the variety consisting of all pairs $(x, V^\bullet)$, where $x \in \mathrm{E}_V$, $V^\bullet = (V^1 \supseteq V^2)$ is a flag in V, such that $|V/V^1| = |X|, |V^1/V^2| = |Y|, |V^2| = |Z|$ and $V^\bullet$ is x-stable.

B is the variety consisting of all triples $(x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b})$, where $(x, V^\bullet) \in A$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b' : V/V^2 \to U, b'' : V^2 \to Z)$ is a pair of linear isomorphisms.

C is the variety consisting of all triples $(x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})$ where $(x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}) \in B$, $\mathbf{c} = (c' : V/V^1 \to X, c'' : V^1/V^2 \to Y)$ is a pair of linear isomorphisms.

D is the variety consisting of all triples $(x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{d})$, where $(x, V^\bullet)$ are in B, $\mathbf{d} = (d' : V/V^1 \to X, d'' : V^1/V^2 \to Y, d''' : V^2 \to Z)$ is a triple of linear isomorphisms.

The maps q_1, q_2, q_3 are from diagram (*) and p_1, p_2, p_3 are induced from diagram (*) (by multiplying with the identity map $\mathrm{id} : \mathrm{E}_Z \to \mathrm{E}_Z$).

$n_3 : (x, V^\bullet) \mapsto (X, V^2)$, $o_3 : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto (x, V^2, \mathbf{b})$.

$r_2 : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto (x, V^\bullet)$,

$r_1 : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto ((b' \cdot (x_{V/V^2}) \cdot (b')^{-1}, b'(V^1/V^2)); b'' \cdot (x_{V^2}) \cdot (b'')^{-1})$.

$n_2 : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{d}) \mapsto (x, V^\bullet)$, $o_2 : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) \mapsto (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b})$,

$o_1 : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \mapsto (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{d})$, where $\mathbf{d} = (c', c'', b'')$.

$s : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \mapsto ((b' \cdot (x_{V/V^2}) \cdot (b')^{-1}, b'(V^1/V^2)), r', r''); b'' \cdot (x_{V^2}) \cdot (b'')^{-1}))$, where $r' = c''\,(b')^{-1}, r'' = c'\,(b')^{-1}$.

$t : (x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{d}) \mapsto (d' \cdot x_{V/V^1} \cdot (d')^{-1}, d'' \cdot x_{V^1/V^2} \cdot (d'')^{-1}, d''' \cdot x_{V^2} \cdot (d''')^{-1}$.

o_1 is a G_U-principal bundle, o_2 is a $\mathrm{G}_X \times \mathrm{G}_Y$-principal bundle, r_2 is a $\mathrm{G}_U \times \mathrm{G}_Z$-principal bundle, n_2 is a $\mathrm{G}_X \times \mathrm{G}_Y \times \mathrm{G}_Z$-principal bundle.

Since $r_2\, o_2 = n_2\, o_1$ and all maps are principal bundles, we have $(r_2)_\flat\,(o_2)_\flat = (n_2)_\flat\,(o_1)_\flat$. So $(r_2)_\flat\,(o_2)_\flat\,o_1^* = (n_2)_\flat\,(o_1)_\flat\,o_1^* = (n_2)_\flat$. I.e.

$$(r_2)_\flat\,(o_2)_\flat\,o_1^* = (n_2)_\flat \tag{8}$$

We denote by d_1, d_2, e_1, e_2 the fiber dimensions of the maps q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2, respectively. We set $d = d_1 - d_2 + e_1 - e_2$.

From the definitions, we have

$$(K \circ L) \boxtimes M = (p_3)_!\,(p_2)_\flat\,p_1^*(K \boxtimes L \boxtimes M)[e_1 - e_2].$$

For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{M} = K \boxtimes L \boxtimes M$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(K \circ L) \circ M &= (q_3)_!\,(q_2)_\flat\,(q_1)^*\,(p_3)_!\,(p_2)_\flat\,p_1^*(\mathcal{M})\,[d] \\
&= (q_3)_!\,(q_2)_\flat\,(o_3)_!\,(r_1)^*\,(p_2)_\flat\,p_1^*(\mathcal{M})\,[d] \\
&= (q_3)_!\,(n_3)_!\,(r_2)_\flat\,r_1^*\,(p_2)_\flat\,p_1^*(\mathcal{M})\,[d] \\
&= (q_3)_!\,(n_3)_!\,(r_2)_\flat\,(o_2)_\flat\,s^*\,p_1^*(\mathcal{M})\,[d] \\
&= (q_3\,n_3)_!\,(r_2)_\flat\,(o_2)_\flat\,o_1^*\,t^*(\mathcal{M})\,[d] \\
&\overset{8}{=} (q_3\,n_3)_!\,(n_2)_\flat t^*(\mathcal{M})\,[d].
\end{aligned}$$

The second to the forth equation holds, since the squares

$$(o_3, q_1, r_1, p_3), (o_3, q_2, r_2, n_3), \text{ and } (o_2, r_1, s, p_2)$$

are Cartesian.

Similarly, we can show that

$$K \circ (L \circ M) = (q_3\,n_3)_!\,(n_2)_\flat t^*(\mathcal{M})\,[d].$$

Lemma follows. □

By Lemma 3.9, it will not cause any confusion when we write $K \circ L \circ M$.

From the Proof above, we obtain a diagram

$$\mathrm{E}_X \times \mathrm{E}_Y \times \mathrm{E}_Z \xleftarrow{\phi_1} D \xrightarrow{\phi_2} A \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \mathrm{E}_V, \tag{**}$$

where we set $\phi_1 := q_3\,n_3, \phi_2 := n_2$ and $\phi_3 := t$.

Note that ϕ_2 is a $\mathrm{G}_X \times \mathrm{G}_Y \times \mathrm{G}_Z$-principal bundle. In particular, the fiber dimension of ϕ_2 is

$$f_2 = \dim \mathrm{G}_X \times \mathrm{G}_Y \times \mathrm{G}_Z.$$

The morphism ϕ_1 is a smooth morphism with connected fibers of fiber dimension

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 = \dim \mathrm{G}_X \times \mathrm{G}_Y \times \mathrm{G}_Z &+ \sum_{i \in I} |Y_i|\,|Z_i| + |X_i|\,|Z_i| + |X_i|\,|Y_i| \\
&+ \sum_{h \in H} |Y_{s(h)}|\,|Z_{t(h)}| + |X_{s(h)}|\,|Z_{t(h)}| + |X_{s(h)}|\,|Y_{t(h)}|
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can compute the fiber dimensions of the morphisms p_1, p_2, q_1 and q_2. They are

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_1 = \dim \mathrm{G}_X \times \mathrm{G}_Y + \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} |X_i|\,|Y_i| + \sum_{h\in H} |X_{s(h)}|\,|Y_{t(h)}|;\\
&e_2 = \dim \mathrm{G}_X \times \mathrm{G}_Y;\\
&d_1 = \dim \mathrm{G}_U \times \mathrm{G}_Z + \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} |U_i|\,|Z_i| + \sum_{h\in H} |U_{s(h)}|\,|Z_{t(h)}|; \text{ and}\\
&d_2 = \dim \mathrm{G}_U \times \mathrm{G}_Z, \text{ respectively.}
\end{aligned}$$

From the above analysis, one can check directly that

$$d = d_1 - d_2 + e_1 - e_2 = f_1 - f_2.$$

So we have

Corollary 3.10. $K \circ L \circ M = (\phi_3)_!\,(\phi_2)_\flat\,\phi_1^*(K \boxtimes L \boxtimes M)[f_1 - f_2]$.

More generally, consider the diagram

(***)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{E}_{V(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}_{V(n)} & D_n \arrow[l, "\phi_1"'] \arrow[r, "\phi_2"] & A_n \arrow[r, "\phi_3"] & \mathrm{E}_V,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $V(m)$ ($m = 1, \cdots, n$ and $n \geq 2$) is an I-graded space such that $V = \oplus_{m=1}^n V(m)$.

A_n is the variety consisting of all pairs $(x, V^\bullet)$, where $x \in \mathrm{E}_V$ and $V^\bullet = (V = V^0 \supseteq V^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V^n = 0)$, such that $|V^{m-1}/V^m| = |V(m)|$ ($m = 1, \cdots, n$) and $V^\bullet$ is x-stable.

D_n is the variety consisting of all triples $(x, V^\bullet, \mathbf{g})$, where $(x, V^\bullet) \in A_n$ and $\mathbf{g}$ is an n-tuple of linear isomorphisms $\mathrm{g}^m : V^{m-1}/V^m \to V(m)$ ($m = 1, \cdots, n$).

The morphisms ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 are defined similar to the morphisms in the diagram (**). Denote by $f_1^{(n)}, f_2^{(n)}$ the fiber dimensions of ϕ_1, ϕ_2, respectively.

Assume that $K_m \in \mathcal{Q}_{V(m)}$, for $m = 1, \cdots n$. We have

Corollary 3.11. $K_1 \circ \cdots \circ K_n = (\phi_3)_!\,(\phi_2)_\flat\,(\phi_1)^*(K_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes K_n)\,[f_1^{(n)} - f_2^{(n)}]$.

Proof. We prove by induction. when $n = 2$, the statement in Corollary 3.11 holds automatically. Assume that the statement in Corollary 3.11 holds. Assume that $K_{n+1} \in \mathcal{Q}_{V(n+1)}$. Let $V = \oplus_{m=1}^{n+1} V(m)$, $W = V(n+1)$ and $T = \oplus_{m=1}^n V(m)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K_1 \circ \cdots \circ K_n) \circ K_{n+1}\\
&= (q_3)_!\,(q_2)_\flat\,(q_1)^*\left((K_1 \circ \cdots \circ K_n) \boxtimes K_{n+1}\right)[d_1 - d_2]\\
&= (q_3)_!\,(q_2)_\flat\,(q_1)^*\ \left((\phi_3)_!\,(\phi_2)_\flat\,(\phi_1)^*(K_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes K_n) \boxtimes K_{n+1}\right)[d]
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where q_1, q_2 and q_3 are the morphisms in the diagram (*), d_1 and d_2 are the fiber dimensions of q_1 and q_2, respectively and $d = d_1 - d_2 + f_1^{(n)} - f_2^{(n)}$. Let id be the identity morphism of $\mathrm{E}_{V(n+1)}$. The equation (9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&(K_1 \circ \cdots \circ K_n) \circ K_{n+1}\\
&= (q_3)_!\,(q_2)_\flat\,(q_1)^*\left((\phi_3)_!\,(\phi_2)_\flat\,(\phi_1)^*(K_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes K_n) \boxtimes K_{n+1})[d]\right)\\
&= (q_3)_!\,(q_2)_\flat\,(q_1)^*\,(\phi_3 \times \mathrm{id})_!\,(\phi_2 \times \mathrm{id})_\flat\,(\phi_1 \times \mathrm{id})^*(\mathcal{M})[d]
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{M} = K_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes K_n \boxtimes K_{n+1}$. Consider the diagram similar to diagram (***)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{E}_{V(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}_{V(n+1)} & D_{n+1} \arrow[l, "\psi_1"'] \arrow[r, "\psi_2"] & A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\psi_3"] & \mathrm{E}_V,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where D_{n+1}, A_{n+1}, ψ_1, ψ_2 and ψ_3 are defined similar to the ones in diagram (***). Denote by $f_1^{(n+1)}$ and $f_2^{(n+1)}$ for the fiber dimensions of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, respectively. A procedure similar to the Proof of Lemma 3.9 then implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(q_3)_!\,(q_2)_\flat\,(q_1)^*\,(\phi_3 \times \mathrm{id})_!\,(\phi_2 \times \mathrm{id})_\flat\,(\phi_1 \times \mathrm{id})^*(\mathcal{M})[d]\\
&= (\psi_3)_!\,(\psi_2)_!\,(\psi_1)^*\,(\mathcal{M})[d].
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From (10) and (11), we have

$$K_1 \circ \cdots \circ K_n \circ K_{n+1} = (\psi_3)_!\,(\psi_2)_!\,(\psi_1)^*\,(\mathcal{M})[d].$$

Finally, one can check that $d = d_1 - d_2 + f_1^{(n)} - f_2^{(n)} = f_1^{(n+1)} - f_2^{(n+1)}$. Then the Corollary follows by induction. $\square$

3.12. **Canonical basis.** Let $\mathcal{K}_V = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Q}_V)$ be the Grothendieck group of the category $\mathcal{Q}_V$, i.e., it is the abelian group with one generator $\langle L\rangle$ for each isomorphism class of objects in $\mathcal{Q}_V$ with relations: $\langle L\rangle + \langle L'\rangle = \langle L''\rangle$ if $L'' \cong L \oplus L'$.

Let v be an indeterminate. We set $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$. Define an $\mathbb{A}$-module structure on $\mathcal{K}_V$ by $v^n\langle L\rangle = \langle L[n]\rangle$ for any generator $\langle L\rangle \in \mathcal{Q}_V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the construction, it is a free $\mathbb{A}$-module with basis $\langle L\rangle$ where $\langle L\rangle$ runs over $\mathcal{P}_V$.

From the construction, we have $\mathcal{K}_V \cong \mathcal{K}_{V'}$, for any V and V' such that $|V| = |V'|$. For each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, fix an I-graded vector space V of dimension ν. Let

$$\mathcal{K}_\nu = \mathcal{K}_V, \quad \mathcal{K} = \oplus_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}[I]}\mathcal{K}_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_Q = \mathbb{Q}(v)\otimes_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{K}.$$

Also let

$$\mathcal{P}_\nu = \mathcal{P}_V \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P} = \cup_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}[I]}\mathcal{P}_\nu.$$

For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, the operation $\circ$ induces an $\mathbb{A}$-linear map

$$\circ : \mathcal{K}_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{K}_\beta \to \mathcal{K}_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

By adding up these linear maps, we have a linear map

$$\circ : \mathcal{K}\otimes_{\mathbb{A}}\mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}.$$

Similarly, the operation $\circ$ induces a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-linear map

$$\circ : \mathcal{K}_Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \mathcal{K}_Q \to \mathcal{K}_Q.$$

Proposition 3.13. (1) $(\mathcal{K}, \circ)$ (*resp.* $(\mathcal{K}_Q, \circ)$) *is an associative algebra over* $\mathbb{A}$ (*resp.* $\mathbb{Q}(v)$).

(2) $\mathcal{P}$ *is an* $\mathbb{A}$*-basis of* $(\mathcal{K}, \circ)$ *and a* $\mathbb{Q}(v)$*-basis of* $(\mathcal{K}_Q, \circ)$.

Proof. The associativity of $\circ$ follows from Lemma 3.9. $\square$

From now on, we simply write $\mathcal{K}$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_Q$) for the algebra $(\mathcal{K}, \circ)$ (resp. $(\mathcal{K}_Q, \circ)$). For any $m \le n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$[n] = \frac{v^n - v^{-n}}{v - v^{-1}}, \quad [n]^! = \prod_{m=1}^{n}[m] \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[n]^!}{[m]^![n-m]^!}.$$

Let Γ be the underlying graph of Q and $(\,,\,)$ the symmetric Euler form defined in Section 2.1. Let $c_{ij} = (i, j)$ for any $i, j \in I$. Then $\mathrm{C} = (c_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ is a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$ be the free algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ generated by F_i for all $i \in I$. We set $F_i^{(n)} = \frac{F_i^n}{[n]^!}$ for all $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the negative part $\mathbf{U}^-$ of the quantized enveloping algebra attached to C is the quotient of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^-$ by the two-sided ideal generated by

$$\sum_{p=0}^{1-c_{ij}} (-1)^p F_i^{(p)} F_j F_i^{(1-c_{ij}-p)} \quad \text{for } i \neq j \in I.$$

Let ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^-$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}^-$ generated by $F_i^{(n)}$ for $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.14. ([L1], [L2], [L5]) *The map* $F_i^{(n)} \mapsto F(ni)$ *for all* $i \in I$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *induces an* $\mathbb{A}$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$_{\mathbb{A}}\phi : {}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^- \to \mathcal{K}$$

and a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$\phi : \mathbf{U}^- \to \mathcal{K}_Q.$$

By Theorem 3.14 and Proposition 3.13, the image $\mathbf{B}$ of the set $\mathcal{P}$ under the map ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\phi$ (resp. ϕ) is an $\mathbb{A}$-basis (resp. $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-basis) of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^-$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^-$). We call $\mathbf{B}$ the **canonical basis** of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^-$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^-$). Under the isomorphism ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\phi$ (resp. ϕ), we identify ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^-$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^-$, $\mathbf{B}$) with $\mathcal{K}$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_Q$, $\mathcal{P}$).

Remark. The construction in this Section works for arbitrary quivers. See [L5] for a more general treatment that works for any symmetrisable generalized Cartan matrix C. For a list of properties of the canonical basis $\mathbf{B}$, see [L5].

4. Monomial basis in $\mathbf{U}^-$

In this Section, we assume that Q has no oriented cycle. We study monomials in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^- = \mathcal{K}$ in some special order. These monomials will then produce a monomial basis for $\mathbf{U}^-$ (in fact an $\mathbb{A}$-basis in ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^-$, see Prop. 5.4), which will be further shown to coincide with the monomial basis constructed in [LXZ].

4.1. **Preprojective and preinjective component.** Recall that we order the vertex set I: $i_0, i_1, \cdots, i_n$ such that i_j is a source of $\sigma_{i_{j+1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{n-1}} \sigma_{i_n} Q, \cdots$.

For any $\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{i_j}\ i_j \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, we set

$$F(\alpha) = F(\alpha_{i_n} i_n) \circ \cdots \circ F(\alpha_{i_1} i_1) \circ F(\alpha_{i_0} i_0).$$

Note that $F(\alpha_{i_j} i_j)$ has been defined in Example 3.6. For convenience, we set $F(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha = 0$. By Lemma 3.8,

$$F(\alpha) = L_{\mathbf{s}} = (\pi_{\mathbf{s}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}]$$

where $\mathbf{s} = (\alpha_{i_n} i_n, \cdots, \alpha_{i_1} i_1, \alpha_{i_0} i_0)$. By definition, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$ has only one element:

$$\mathbf{V}^\bullet = (V \supseteq \oplus_{j=0}^{n-1} V_{i_j} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V_{i_0} \supseteq 0).$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{V}^\bullet$ is stabilized by every element in E_V. So $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}} \simeq \mathrm{E}_V$. Therefore

Lemma 4.2. $F(\alpha)$ *is a simple perverse sheaf.*

Denote by rP_m the direct sum of r copies of P_m defined in Section 2.7. Then rP_m has no self extension by Lemma 2.8. In other words, the G_V-orbit O_{rP_m} corresponding to rP_m is open in E_V. Thus

$$F(r|P_m|) = \mathrm{IC}(O_{rP_m}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l). \tag{12}$$

Similarly

$$F(r|I_m|) = \mathrm{IC}(O_{rI_m}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l). \tag{13}$$

4.3. **Inhomogeneous component.** Let $\mathscr{T}$ be an inhomogeneous tube. Assume that $\mathbf{V} = (V, x) \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathscr{T})$ is aperiodic (see Section 2.7). Denote by $O = O_x$ the G_V-orbit of x in E_V. Let $\mathrm{IC}(O, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ be the simple perverse sheaf on E_V whose support is $\overline{O}$, the closure of O, and whose restriction to O is $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$.

Proposition 4.4. *There exists a monomial, denote by* $F(O)$ *or* $F(\mathbf{V})$*, such that*

$$F(O) = \mathrm{IC}(O, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \oplus A \tag{14}$$

where $\mathrm{Supp}(A) \subseteq \overline{O} \backslash O$.

In fact, Lusztig showed in [L3] (see also [LL, Lem. 3.8]) that there exists a sequence $(\gamma_{i_1}, \gamma_{i_2}, \cdots, \gamma_{i_m})$ such that

$$F(\gamma_{i_1}) \circ F(\gamma_{i_2}) \circ \cdots \circ F(\gamma_{i_m}) = \mathrm{IC}(O, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \oplus A \tag{15}$$

where A is a semisimple complex and γ_{i_j} is one of the dimensions of the simple regular representations in $\mathscr{T}$. By [DDX, Thm. 8.5], the sequence can be chosen such that $\mathrm{Supp}(A) \subseteq \overline{O} \backslash O$. Proposition 4.4 follows.

4.5. **Homogeneous component.** Let $\mathbf{1} = (1, \cdots, 1)$ be a partition of a positive integer m. We set $\mathbf{1}\delta = (\delta, \cdots, \delta)$ such that $\delta + \cdots + \delta = m\delta$. Let

$$F(\mathbf{1}\delta) = F(\delta) \circ \cdots \circ F(\delta).$$

Note that $F(\delta)$ is defined in Section 4.1. From Lemma 4.2, $F(\mathbf{1}\delta)$ is in $\mathcal{K}$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.8 (2),

$$F(\mathbf{1}\delta) = L_{\mathbf{1}\delta} = (\pi_{\mathbf{1}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{1}\delta}]$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{1}\delta}$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{1}\delta} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{1}\delta} \to \mathrm{E}_V$ is defined similar to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{s}}$, respectively, in Section 3.2.

Let $X(m\delta)$ be the subvariety of E_V consisting of all elements x such that

$$(V, x) \simeq T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_m$$

where $T_1, \cdots, T_m$ are pairwise non isomorphic regular simple representations of Q. $X(m\delta)$ is an irreducible smooth variety and open in its closure $\overline{X}(m\delta)$ (see [L3]).

Denote by $\tilde{X}(m\delta)$ the variety consisting of all sequences $\mathbf{x} = (x, T_{i_1}, \cdots, T_{i_m})$ where $x \in X(m\delta)$ and $T_{i_1}, \cdots, T_{i_m}$ is a permutation of $T_1, \cdots, T_m$. Denote by $\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta}$ the first projection $\tilde{X}(m\delta) \to X(m\delta)$. Then $\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta}$ is a principal $\mathfrak{S}_m$-covering where $\mathfrak{S}_m$ is the symmetric group of m letters. So $\mathfrak{S}_m$ acts on the fiber $\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta}^{-1}(x)$ of x in $X(m\delta)$, i.e.,

$$s.\mathbf{x} = \big(x, T_{s(i_1)}, \cdots, T_{s(i_m)}\big) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathfrak{S}_m \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \in X(m\delta). \tag{16}$$

Let $M^{\mathbf{1}}(x)$ be the vector space over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ spanned by elements in the fiber $\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta}^{-1}(x)$. The action (16) then induces an $\mathfrak{S}_m$-module structure on $M^{\mathbf{1}}(x)$. By [J], $M^{\mathbf{1}}(x)$ is nothing but the permutation module of $\mathfrak{S}_m$ with respect to the partition $\mathbf{1}$. Denote by $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{S}_m$ corresponding to the partition $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ of m. Then from [J],

$$M^{\mathbf{1}}(x) = \chi_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} A_{\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \chi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tag{17}$$

where $A_{\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \chi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a direct sum of $A_{\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ copies of the representation $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ runs through all partitions of m such that $\boldsymbol{\mu} > \mathbf{1}$ and $>$ is the lexicography order for the set of partitions of m as follows. Assume that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_{l'})$ are partitions of m, then $\boldsymbol{\mu} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ if and only if there exist a p such that $\mu_{p'} = \lambda_{p'}$ for all $p' < p$ and $\mu_p > \lambda_p$.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ the local system on $X(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta)$ corresponding to the irreducible representation $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Since $\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta}$ is a principal $\mathfrak{S}_m$-covering, the monodromy representation of $(\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ at x is $M^{\mathbf{1}}(x)$. From [Ive] and (17),

$$(\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} A_{\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \tag{18}$$

where the notations are defined similar to the notations in (17).

Given any $x \in X(m\delta)$, we have $(V, x) \simeq T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_m$. So we can decompose $V = \oplus_{r=1}^m V(r)$ such that $V(r)$ is x-stable and $|V(r)| = \delta$. We denote by $(V(r), x)$ the subreprsentation of (V, x) by restricting x to $V(r)$. By the fact that $|V(r)| = \delta$ and $(V(r), x)$ is a subrepresentation of a regular representation, we know that $(V(r), x) \simeq T_{i_r}$ for some i_r. So we have $(V, x) \simeq \oplus_{r=1}^m (V(r), x)$. Moreover, this decomposable is unique up to order (see [LL, 5.7]). Define an injective map $a : \tilde{X}(m\delta) \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{1}\delta}$ by

$$(x, T_1, \cdots, T_m) \mapsto (x, \mathbf{V}^\bullet)$$

where $\mathbf{V}^\bullet = (V = V^0 \supseteq V^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V^m = 0)$ with $V^r = \oplus_{u=r}^m V(u)$ and $(V(u), x) \simeq T_u$ for $1 \leq u \leq m$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X}(m\delta) \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta}"'] & \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{1}\delta} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\mathbf{1}\delta}"'] \\
X(m\delta) \arrow[r, "b"] & \mathrm{E}_V
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the bottom arrow is the nature injection. By construction, this diagram is Cartesian. So we have

$$b^*(\pi_{\mathbf{1}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = (\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta})_! a^*(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = (\tau_{\mathbf{1}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l). \tag{19}$$

Note that the support of $(\pi_{\mathbf{1}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is $\overline{X}(m\delta)$ and $X(m\delta)$ is open dense in $\overline{X}(m\delta)$. Let $\mathrm{IC}(X(m\delta), \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ be the simple perverse sheaf on E_V whose support is $\overline{X}(m\delta)$ and whose restriction to $X(m\delta)$ is $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}[\dim X(m\delta)]$.

From (18) and (19), we have

Lemma 4.6. *$F(\mathbf{1}\delta) = \mathrm{IC}(X(m\delta), \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{1}}) \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} A_{\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathrm{IC}(X(m\delta), \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \oplus B$ where $\mathrm{Supp}(B) \subseteq \overline{X}(m\delta) \backslash X(m\delta)$.*

More generally, let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \cdots \lambda_l)$ be a partition of m. Set $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta = (\lambda_1\delta, \cdots, \lambda_l\delta)$. Let

$$F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta) = F(\lambda_1\delta) \circ \cdots \circ F(\lambda_l\delta). \tag{20}$$

Similar to $F(\mathbf{1}\delta)$,

$$F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta) = L_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta} = (\pi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}].$$

Denote by $\tilde{X}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m\delta)$ the variety consisting of all sequences

$$\mathbf{x} = (x, \oplus_{r=1}^{\lambda_1} T_{i_r}, \oplus_{r=\lambda_1+1}^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} T_{i_r}, \cdots, \oplus_{r=\lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1}^{m} T_{i_r})$$

where $x \in X(m\delta)$ and $T_{i_1}, \cdots, T_{i_m}$ is a permutation of $T_1, \cdots, T_m$. Denote by $\tau_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$ the first projection $\tilde{X}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(m\delta) \to X(m\delta)$. Again $\mathfrak{S}_m$ acts on the fiber $\tau_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}^{-1}(x)$ of x in $X(m\delta)$, i.e.,

$$s.\mathbf{x} = \Big(x, \oplus_{r=1}^{\lambda_1} T_{s(i_r)}, \oplus_{r=\lambda_1+1}^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2} T_{s(i_r)}, \cdots, \oplus_{r=\lambda_1+\cdots+\lambda_{l-1}+1}^{m} T_{s(i_r)}\Big) \tag{21}$$

for all $s \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and $\mathbf{x} \in X(m\delta)$.

Let $M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(x)$ be the vector space over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ spanned by elements in the fiber $\tau_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}^{-1}(x)$. The action (21) then induces an $\mathfrak{S}_m$-module structure on $M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(x)$. By [J], $M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(x)$ is nothing but the permutation module of $\mathfrak{S}_m$ with respect to the partition $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Similar to (17), (18) and (19), we have

$$M^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(x) = \chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}} A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \chi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \tag{22}$$

$$(\tau_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}} A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \quad \text{and} \tag{23}$$

$$b^*(\pi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = (\tau_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l). \tag{24}$$

(Here $A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a Kostka number.) From (23) and (24), we have

Lemma 4.7. *$F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta) = \mathrm{IC}(X(m\delta), \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\mu} > \boldsymbol{\lambda}} A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathrm{IC}(X(m\delta), \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \oplus B$ where $\mathrm{Supp}(B) \subseteq \overline{X}(m\delta) \backslash X(m\delta)$.*

4.8. **Monomial basis.** We construct a monomial basis for $\mathbf{U}^-$ and show that it coincides with the one given in [LXZ].

For convenience, we say the sequence (0) is a partition of 0. For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, let Δ_ν be the set of all pairs $(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, where $\mathbf{a} : \mathrm{Ind}(Q) \to \mathbb{N}$ is a function and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a partition of some nonnegative integer m, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{V}\in \mathrm{Ind}(Q)} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}| + m\delta = \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \mathbf{a}((\Phi^+)^r(T)) = 0 \tag{25}$$

for any regular indecomposable representation T of period p.

We set $\Delta = \sqcup_{\nu\in\mathbb{N}[I]}\Delta_\nu$.

Note that $\mathbf{a}(T) = 0$ for any regular indecomposable representation of period 1. For each inhomogeneous tube $\mathscr{T}_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots s)$, we set

$$\mathbf{a}^i = \oplus_{a,l}\, \mathbf{a}(T_{i,a,l})\, T_{i,a,l}$$

where $a = 1, \cdots, p_i$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $T_{i,a,l}$ are indecomposable in $\mathscr{T}_i$. By (25), $\mathbf{a}^i$ is aperiodic.

For simplicity, we write

$$\alpha_m = \mathbf{a}(P_m)|P_m| \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_l = \mathbf{a}(I_l)|I_l| \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}.$$

We form monomials

$$F(\mathbf{a}^+) = F(\alpha_0) \circ F(\alpha_1) \circ \cdots \circ F(\alpha_m) \circ \cdots \tag{26}$$

$$F(\mathbf{a}^-) = \cdots \circ F(\beta_l) \circ \cdots \circ F(\beta_{n-1}) \circ F(\beta_n) \tag{27}$$

where $F(\alpha_m)$ and $F(\beta_l)$ are defined in Section 4.1 (12) and (13), respectively. The products are finite since $\mathbf{a}$ is support finite by (25). We set

$$F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = F(\mathbf{a}^+) \circ F(\mathbf{a}^1) \circ \cdots \circ F(\mathbf{a}^s) \circ F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta) \circ F(\mathbf{a}^-) \tag{28}$$

where $F(\mathbf{a}^s)$ is defined in Section 4.3 (14) and $F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta)$ is defined in Section 4.5 (20).

Proposition 4.9. *The monomials $F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ where $(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta$ form a $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-basis for $\mathcal{K}_Q = \mathbf{U}^-$. Moreover, $\{F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid (\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta\}$ can be identified with the monomial basis $\{\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}\}$ defined in* [LXZ, Prop. 8.4].

Proof. We only show that the set $\{F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid (\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta\}$ coincides with the monomial basis $\{\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{c} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{M}\}$ defined in [LXZ, Prop. 8.4], as monomials in $\mathbf{U}^-$. Then the Proposition 4.9 follows from [LXZ, Prop. 7.6, 8.4].

Note that from [LXZ], $\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{c}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{m}_{\pi_1\mathbf{c}} \star \cdots \star \mathbf{m}_{\pi_s\mathbf{c}} \star \mathbf{m}_{\omega_\mathbf{c}\delta} \star \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{b}. \tag{29}$$

Among the components in (28) and (29), the identifications

$$F(\mathbf{a}^+) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{a}, \quad F(\mathbf{a}^-) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{b} \quad \text{and} \quad F(\mathbf{a}^i) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{m}_{\pi_i\mathbf{c}}$$

are clear by comparing Section 4.1 in this paper with Section 6.4 in [LXZ], and Section 4.3 in this paper with Section 5.3 in [LXZ]. Now the only part needs to be identified is

$$F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{m}_{\omega_\mathbf{c}\delta}. \tag{30}$$

Recall from [LXZ], $\mathbf{m}_{\omega_\mathbf{c}\delta} = \mathbf{m}_{\omega_1\delta} \star \mathbf{m}_{\omega_2\delta} \star \cdots \star \mathbf{m}_{\omega_l\delta}$ where $\omega_\mathbf{c} = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_l)$ is a partition of some nonnegative integer. From (20), we only need to identify

$$F(\lambda\delta) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta} \tag{31}$$

for some positive integer λ.

Recall the definition of $\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta}$ from [LXZ]. In fact, our definition is not exactly the same as the one given in [LXZ], instead our definition gives a realization of the process of producing $\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta}$ given in [LXZ]. There are four cases to consider.

Case 1. When Q is the Kronecker quiver $\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$, denoted by K. We denote by $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ for the sink and the source, a and b for the two arrows, respectively. Then $\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta} = E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{j}} \star E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in [LXZ]. But $E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{j}}$, $E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and the (generic) $\star$-product can be identified with $F(\lambda\mathbf{j}), F(\lambda\mathbf{i})$ and the $\circ$-product in this paper, respectively. So $F(\lambda\delta)$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta}$ can be identified in $\mathbf{U}^-$ when Q is the Kronecker quiver.

Case 2. Assume now that Q is a quiver of type $A^{(1)}_n$ $(n \geq 3)$, but not a cyclic quiver. Recall that i_0 is a sink. We denote by h_1 and h_2 for the two arrows that terminate at i_0. Let $\mathbf{V} = (V, x)$ be the representation of Q defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &V_i = k \quad \text{if } k \neq i \quad \text{and} \quad V_{i_0} = 0;\\ &x_h = \mathrm{id}_{V_{s(h)}} \quad \text{if } h \neq h_1, h_2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_h = 0 \quad \text{if } h = h_1, h_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{V}$ is an indecomposable representation of dimension $\delta - i_0$ and has no self-extension. ($\mathbf{V}$ has no self-extension is due to the fact that $\delta - i_0$ is a positive root of finite type.)

Note that a representation of K is a quadruple $(V_{\mathbf{i}}, V_{\mathbf{j}}, x_a, x_b)$. We define a functor

$$\phi : \mathrm{Rep}(K) \to \mathrm{Rep}(Q) \quad (V_{\mathbf{i}}, V_{\mathbf{j}}, x_a, x_b) \mapsto (W, y)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} &W_i = V_{\mathbf{j}} \quad \text{if } i \neq i_0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_{i_0} = V_{\mathbf{i}};\\ &y_h = x_h \quad \text{if } h \neq h_1, h_2 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{h_1} = x_a \quad \text{and} \quad y_{h_2} = x_b. \end{aligned}$$

The assignments extend to a functor. From the definition, we have

$$\phi(S_{\mathbf{j}}) = \mathbf{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(S_{\mathbf{i}}) = S_{i_0}.$$

This functor can be identified with the functor F defined in [LXZ]. One can check that ϕ is an exact embedding, so it induces an injective algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbf{U}^-(K) \to \mathbf{U}^-,$$

where $\mathbf{U}^-(K)$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^-$) is the negative part of the quantized enveloping algebra associated to the generalized Cartan matrix of the underlying graph of K (resp. Q). Moreover, since $\mathbf{V}$ has no self-extension,

$$\phi(E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{j}}) = E^{(\lambda)}_{i_n} \star E^{(\lambda)}_{i_{n-1}} \star \cdots \star E^{(\lambda)}_{i_1}.$$

Also we have $\phi(E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{i}}) = E^{(\lambda)}_{i_0}$. By definition in [LXZ],

$$\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta} = \phi(E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{j}}) \star \phi(E^{(\lambda)}_{\mathbf{i}}) = E^{(\lambda)}_{i_n} \star \cdots \star E^{(\lambda)}_{i_1} \star E^{(\lambda)}_{i_0}.$$

From this and Section 4.5 in this paper, we can identify $F(\lambda\delta)$ with $\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta}$. The identification of (31) holds in this case.

Case 3. Assume that Q is of type $D^{(1)}_n$ $(n \geq 4)$ or $E^{(1)}_n$ $(n = 6, 7, 8)$. We further assume that there is an extending vertex i in I such that i is a sink. Since i is a sink, when we order the vertex set I, we can set $i_0 = i$. Since Q is of type $D^{(1)}_n$ or $E^{(1)}_n$, we know that there is only one arrow h_0 such that $t(h_0) = i_0$. Let $\mathbf{V} = (V, x)$ be an indecomposable representation of dimension $\delta - i_0$ and then has no self-extension. Such a representation exists since $\delta - i_0$ is a positive root of finite type. Note that $(\delta - i_0)_{s(h_0)} = 2$, i.e., $V_{s(h_0)} = k^2$. We fix a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ for $V_{s(h_0)}$.

Define a functor

$$\phi : \mathrm{Rep}(K) \to \mathrm{Rep}(Q) \quad (V_{\mathbf{i}}, V_{\mathbf{j}}, x_a, x_b) \mapsto (W, y)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}&W_i = V_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes V_i \quad \text{if } i \neq i_0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_{i_0} = V_{\mathbf{i}};\\ &y_h = \mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathbf{j}}} \otimes x_h : V_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes V_{s(h)} \to V_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes V_{t(h)} \quad \text{if } h \neq h_0 \quad \text{and}\\ &y_{h_0} = x_a \oplus x_b : V_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes V_{s(h_0)} \to V_{\mathbf{i}}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $x_a \oplus x_b$ is defined by

$$x_a \oplus x_b(v \otimes e_1 + w \otimes e_2) = x_a(v) + x_b(w) \quad \text{for any } v, w \in V_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

From definition, we have

$$\phi(S_{\mathbf{j}}) = \mathbf{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(S_{\mathbf{i}}) = S_{i_0}.$$

As in Case 1 and 2, ϕ induces an injective algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbf{U}^-(K) \to \mathbf{U}^-$$

such that

$$\phi(E_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\lambda)}) = E_{i_0}^{(\lambda)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(E_{\mathbf{j}}^{(\lambda)}) = E_{i_n}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_n})} \star \cdots \star E_{i_1}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_1})}.$$

By the definition in [LXZ],

$$\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta} = \phi(E_{\mathbf{j}}^{(\lambda)}) \star \phi(E_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\lambda)}) = E_{i_n}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_n})} \star \cdots \star E_{i_1}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_1})} \star E_{i_0}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_0})}.$$

By comparing the definition of $F(\lambda\delta)$, we see that the identification in (31) holds in this case.

Case 4. Finally, we assume that Q is a quiver of type $D_n^{(1)}$ and $E_n^{(1)}$. Assume that all extending vertices in Q are sources. We fix one of the extending vertices and set it equal to i_n. Note that in our ordering of I, i_n is a source in Q. Let $\mathbf{V} = (V, x)$ be an indecomposable representation of dimension $\delta - i_n$ and has no self-extension. Let h_n be the arrow such that $s(h_n) = i_n$. Define a functor

$$\phi : \mathrm{Rep}(K) \to \mathrm{Rep}(Q) \quad (V_{\mathbf{i}}, V_{\mathbf{j}}, x_a, x_b) \mapsto (W, y)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}&W_i = V_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes V_i \quad \text{if } i \neq i_n \quad \text{and} \quad W_{i_n} = V_{\mathbf{j}};\\ &y_h = \mathrm{id}_{V_{\mathbf{i}}} \quad \text{if } h \neq h_0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{h_0} = [x_a, x_b] : V_{\mathbf{j}} \to V_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes k^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here $[x_a, x_b]$ is defined by

$$[x_a, x_b](v) = x_a(v) \otimes e_1 + x_b(v) \otimes e_2 \quad \text{for any } v \in V_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

From the definition, we have

$$\phi(S_{\mathbf{j}}) = S_{i_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(S_{\mathbf{i}}) = \mathbf{V}.$$

As in Case 1, 2 and 3, ϕ induces an injective algebra homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbf{U}^-(K) \to \mathbf{U}^-$$

such that

$$\phi(E_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\lambda)}) = E_{i_{n-1}}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_n})} \star \cdots \star E_{i_0}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_0})} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(E_{\mathbf{j}}^{(\lambda)}) = E_{i_n}^{(\lambda)}.$$

By the definition in [LXZ],

$$\mathbf{m}_{\lambda\delta} = \phi(E_{\mathbf{j}}^{(\lambda)}) \star \phi(E_{\mathbf{i}}^{(\lambda)}) = E_{i_n}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_n})} \star E_{i_{n-1}}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_{n-1}})} \star \cdots \star E_{i_0}^{(\lambda\delta_{i_0})}.$$

Again from the definition of $F(\lambda\delta)$, we see that the identification in (31) holds in this case. This finishes the Proof of Proposition 4.9. □

Remark. The functors ϕ constructed in Proposition 4.9 are in [FMV]. The fact that $\{F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid (\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta\}$ is a monomial basis of $\mathbf{U}^-$ can be proved directly using the machinery built up in [LL], as we will see in the Proof of Theorem 5.2.

5. Main results

5.1. Let V be an I-graded space over k of dimension ν. For any $(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta_\nu$ (see 4.8) with $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ a partition of some nonnegative integer m, define $X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ to be the subvariety of E_V consisting of all elements x in E_V such that

$$(V, x) \simeq \oplus_{\mathbf{V} \in \mathrm{Ind}(Q)} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{V})\mathbf{V} \oplus T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_m$$

where $T_1, \cdots, T_m$ are pairwise non isomorphic simple regular representations of Q. Then $X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a smooth irreducible variety and is open dense in its closure $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ (see [L3]).

Define $\tilde{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ to be the variety consisting of all sequences $\mathbf{x} = (x, T_{r_1}, \cdots, T_{r_m})$ where $x \in \mathrm{E}_V$ and $T_{r_1}, \cdots, T_{r_m}$ is a permutation of $T_1, \cdots T_m$.

Let $\pi_{\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be the first projection $\tilde{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \to X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. $\pi_{\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is a principal $\mathfrak{S}_m$-covering ([L3]). Thus the fundamental group of $X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is $\mathfrak{S}_m$. For each partition $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ of m, it determines an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{S}_m$, denoted by $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ be the irreducible local system on $X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ such that the monodromy representation induced by $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ at the stalk of $x \in X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ (see [Ive]).

Denote by $\mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ the simple perverse sheaf on E_V whose support is $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and whose restriction to $X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is $\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}[\dim X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})]$.

We define a partial order, $\prec$, on the set Δ_ν by

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \prec (\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \quad \text{if and only if } & X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \subseteq \overline{X}(\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \backslash X(\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \quad \text{or} \\ & \overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \overline{X}(\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\mu} < \boldsymbol{\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $<$ is the lexicographic order on the set of all partitions of m. Note that the partial order is well-defined since if $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \overline{X}(\mathbf{b}, \boldsymbol{\mu})$ then $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$. The partial order $\prec$ on Δ_ν then extends to a partial order on Δ, denoted again by $\prec$. Now we can state our main results in this paper.

Theorem 5.2. *Under the partial order $\prec$ on Δ, the transition matrix between the set $\mathcal{F} = \{F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid (\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta\}$ and the set $\mathcal{IC} = \{\mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid (\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta\}$ is upper triangular with entries in the diagonal equal to 1 and entries in the upper diagonal in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[v, v^{-1}] \subseteq \mathbb{A}$. More precisely,*

$$F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} < \boldsymbol{\mu}} A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) + K,$$

where $A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a Kostka number and K is a linear combination (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[v, v^{-1}]$) of elements in $\mathcal{IC}$ supported on $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \backslash X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 will be given in Section 5.6.

Proposition 5.3. $\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{IC}$.

Note that we identify $\mathbf{B}$ with $\mathcal{P}$ in Section 3.12. From Theorem 5.2, we have $\mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \mathcal{P}$. Now by Proposition 4.9 and Theorem 5.2, Proposition 5.3 follows.

As a consequence of Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we have

Proposition 5.4. *The basis $\mathcal{F} = \{F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid (\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta\}$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of ${}_{\mathbb{A}}\mathbf{U}^- = \mathcal{K}$.*

Recall from [LXZ, 8.4], that the transition matrix between the monomial basis $\{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{c}} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{M}\}$ $(= \mathcal{F})$ and the PBW-basis $E_{\mathcal{M}} = \{E^{\mathbf{c}} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is upper triangular with entries in the diagonal equal to 1 and entries above diagonal in $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. Since we can identify the index set $\mathcal{M}$ in [LXZ] with Δ in this paper, we write $E^{(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})}$ (resp. $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}$) in this paper for $E^{\mathbf{c}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{c}}$) in [LXZ]. (In fact $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} = F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ by Proposition 4.9.) Combining this with Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.3, we have

Corollary 5.5. *The transition matrix between the canonical basis $\mathbf{B}$ and the PBW-basis $\{E^{(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})} \mid (\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta\}$ is upper triangular with entries in the diagonal equal to 1 and entries above the diagonal in $\mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$.*

5.6. **Proof of Theorem 5.2.** The subsection is devoted to proving Theorem 5.2. The proof is essentially the same as the Proof of Proposition 5.10 in [LL], which comes from [L3].

Let $V(\alpha_m), V(\beta_l), V(\mathbf{a}^i)$ and $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\delta})$ be the vector spaces of dimension $\alpha_m, \beta_l, |\mathbf{a}^i|$ and $m\delta$, respectively, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}, i = 1, \cdots, s$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a partition of m. Denote by V the direct sum of $V(\alpha_m), V(\beta_l), V(\mathbf{a}^i)$ and $V(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}$ and $i = 1, \cdots, s$. For simplicity, we write E_{α_m}, E_{β_l}, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{a}^i}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$ for the varieties $\mathrm{E}_{V(\alpha_m)}$, $\mathrm{E}_{V(\beta_l)}$, $\mathrm{E}_{V(\mathbf{a}^i)}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{V(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta)}$, respectively. We set

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \mathrm{E}_{\alpha_0} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}_{\alpha_m} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{a}^1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{a}^s} \times \mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}_{\beta_l} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}_{\beta_n}.$$

Similarly, we define $\mathrm{G}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for the product of the various general linear groups $\mathrm{G}_{V(m)}$. Applying the diagram (***) in Section 3.7, we have the following diagram

(*)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} & D_\infty \arrow[l, "\phi_1"'] \arrow[r, "\phi_2"] & A_\infty \arrow[r, "\phi_3"] & \mathrm{E}_V
\end{tikzcd}$$

where D_∞ and A_∞ are defined similar to D_n and A_n, respectively, in diagram (***) in Section 3.7. So are the maps ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ϕ_3. Denote by f_1^∞ and f_2^∞ for the fibre dimensions of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, respectively. By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\phi_3(A_\infty) = \overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
F^+ &= F(\alpha_0) \boxtimes F(\alpha_1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes F(\alpha_m) \boxtimes \cdots, \\
F^- &= \cdots \boxtimes F(\beta_l) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes F(\beta_{n-1}) \boxtimes F(\beta_n), \quad \text{and} \\
F_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} &= F^+ \boxtimes F(\mathbf{a}^1) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes F(\mathbf{a}^s) \boxtimes F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta) \boxtimes F^-.
\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.11, we have

$$F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\phi_3)_!(\phi_2)_\flat(\phi_1)^*(F_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}})[f_1^\infty - f_2^\infty]. \tag{32}$$

Let $O_{\alpha_m}, O_{\beta_l}$ and $O_{\mathbf{a}^i}$ be the $\mathrm{G}_{V(m)}$-orbits in $E_{\alpha_m}, E_{\beta_l}$ and $E_{\mathbf{a}^i}$ corresponding to the representations $\mathbf{a}(P_m)P_m$, $\mathbf{a}(I_l)I_l$ and $\mathbf{a}^i$, respectively. Let $O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$ be $X(m\delta)$ defined in Section 4.5. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned}
O^+ &= O_{\alpha_0} \times O_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times O_{\alpha_m} \times \cdots, \\
O^- &= \cdots \times O_{\beta_l} \times \cdots \times O_{\beta_{n-1}} \times O_{\beta_n}, \quad \text{and} \\
O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} &= O^+ \times O_{\mathbf{a}^1} \times \cdots \times O_{\mathbf{a}^s} \times O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta} \times O^-.
\end{aligned}$$

By (12), (13), (14) and Lemma 4.7, $O_{\alpha_m}, O_{\beta_l}, O_{\mathbf{a}^i}$ and $O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$ are open dense in the supports of the complexes $F(\alpha_m), F(\beta_l), F(a^i)$ and $F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta)$, respectively, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq n}$ and $i = 1, \cdots, s$.

Denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_m}, \mathcal{N}_{\beta_l}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}^i}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$ for the local system by restricting $F(\alpha_m)$, $F(\beta_l)$, $F(\mathbf{a}^i)$ and $F(\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta)$ to $O_{\alpha_m}, O_{\beta_l}, O_{\mathbf{a}^i}$ and $O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$, respectively. Note that from (12), (13) and (14), all local systems are trivial except $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$. By (23) and (24) in Section 4.5, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta} = \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} < \boldsymbol{\mu}} A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}. \tag{33}$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be the local system on $O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ by tensoring the local systems $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_m}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\beta_l}$, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}^i}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$, i.e., $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = F_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}|_{O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$, the restriction of $F_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ to $O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

Consider the following diagram

(**)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \arrow[d, "a"'] & D'_\infty \arrow[l, "\phi'_1"'] \arrow[r, "\phi'_2"] \arrow[d, "b"'] & A'_\infty \arrow[r, "\phi'_3"] \arrow[d, "c"'] & X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \arrow[d, "d"'] \\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} & D_\infty \arrow[l, "\phi_1"'] \arrow[r, "\phi_2"] & A_\infty \arrow[r, "\phi_3"] & \overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $A'_\infty = \phi_3^{-1}(X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}))$, $D'_\infty = (\phi_2)^{-1}(A'_\infty)$, the bottom line is diagram (*) in this subsection, the horizontal arrows in the top line is induced from the arrows in the bottom line. The vertical maps are open embeddings. Note that ϕ'_1 is well-defined due to Lemma 2.9. The squares on the right and in the middle are cartesians. From this and diagram (**), one has

$$\begin{aligned} d^*F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= d^*(\phi_3)_!(\phi_2)_\flat(\phi_1)^*(F_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}})[f_1^\infty - f_2^\infty] \\ &= (\phi'_3)_!(\phi'_2)_\flat(\phi'_1)^*(F_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}|_{O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}})[f_1^\infty - f_2^\infty]. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Let $\tilde{O}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ be the variety defined as $\tilde{X}(m\delta)$ in Section 4.5 with $O_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\delta}$ replaced by $O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Let π be the first projection $\tilde{O}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \to O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{O}_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & \tilde{D}'_\infty \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{A}'_\infty \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \tilde{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \arrow[d, "\pi_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}"'] \\
O_{\mathbf{a},\lambda} & D'_\infty \arrow[l, "\phi'_1"'] \arrow[r, "\phi'_2"] & A'_\infty \arrow[r, "\phi'_3"] & X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{***}$$

where $\tilde{A}'_\infty = (\phi'_3)^{-1}(\tilde{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}))$, $\tilde{D}'_\infty = (\phi'_2)^{-1}(\tilde{A}'_\infty)$, and the maps in the bottom are defined in diagram (**) and the other maps are defined in the natural way. Note that the squares on the right and in the middle are cartesians. From this and diagram (***), one has

$$(\pi_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = (\phi'_3)_!(\phi'_2)_\flat(\phi'_1)^*(\pi_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)) = (\phi'_3)_!(\phi'_2)_\flat(\phi'_1)^*(F_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}|_{O_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}). \tag{35}$$

Combining (34) and (35),

$$d^*F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\pi_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[f_1^\infty - f_2^\infty].$$

Note that $X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is open dense in $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. The above equation implies that up to shift,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \mathrm{IC}(X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), (\pi_{\mathbf{a},\boldsymbol{\lambda}})_!(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)) \oplus K \\ &= \mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \oplus \oplus_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}<\boldsymbol{\mu}} A_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \oplus K, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where K is a semisimple complex supported on $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \backslash X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. But $F(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\mathrm{IC}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are stable under the bar involution, the shift must disappear. Thus equation (36) holds without shift. (In other words, $\dim X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f_1^\infty - f_2^\infty$.) This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.2.

5.7. **Resolutions of singularities.** In this subsection, we give a resolution of $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ for any $(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta$. Note that $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ are the supports for the affine canonical basis elements (as simple perverse sheaves).

We preserve the setting in Section 4. For any $\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_{i_j} i_j \in \mathbb{N}[I]$, we form a sequence

$$\mathbf{s}(\alpha) = (\alpha_{i_n} i_n, \cdots, \alpha_{i_1} i_1, \alpha_{i_0} i_0),$$

where $i_0, i_1, \cdots, i_n$ is a fixed sequence of vertices in I in Section 4.

For any pair $(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \Delta$ with $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ a partition of N, similar to (26) and (27), we form sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}^+) &= \mathbf{s}(\alpha_0) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\alpha_1) \cdots \mathbf{s}(\alpha_m) \cdots \\ \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}^-) &= \cdots \mathbf{s}(\beta_l) \cdots \mathbf{s}(\beta_{n-1}) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\beta_n) \end{aligned}$$

where α_m and β_l are defined in Section 4.

For each $\mathbf{a}^i$, we denote by $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}^i)$ the sequence corresponding to $F(O)$ in Proposition 4.4. We set

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}^+) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}^1) \cdots \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}^s) \cdot \mathbf{s}(N\delta) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a}^-). \tag{37}$$

The sequence is of finite length since $\mathbf{a}$ is of finite support.

Corollary 5.8. *The map* $\pi_{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})} : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})} \to \mathrm{E}_V$ *(see Section 3.2) is a resolution of singularities in* $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$*, i.e.,* $\pi_{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})}$ *is proper, the image of* $\pi_{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})}$ *is* $\overline{X}(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ *and the restriction*

$$\pi_{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})} : (\pi_{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})})^{-1}(X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})) \to X(\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

is an isomorphism of varieties.

The proof of Corollary 5.8 goes exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [Re]. The crucial point is Lemma 2.9. We leave the details to the reader.

References

[BBD] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).

[BCP] J. Beck, V. Chari and A. Pressley, *An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), 455-487.

[BN] J. Beck and H. Nakajima, *Crystal bases and two sided cells of quantum affine algebras*, preprint.

[BGP] I.N. Bernstein, I.M. Gelfand and B.A. Ponomarev, *Coxeter functor and Gabriel's theorem*, Russian Math. Surveys, **28** (1973), 17-32.

[BL] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts, *Equivariant Sheaves and Functors*, Lecture Notes In Math. **1578**, Springer/Berlin, 1994.

[DDX] B. Deng, J. Du and J. Xiao, *Generic extensions and canonical bases for cyclic quivers*, preprint.

[DR] V. Dlab and C.M. Ringel, *Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.,**173** (1976), 1-57.

[FMV] I. Frenkel, A. Malkin and M. Vybornov, *Affine Lie algebras and tame quivers*, Selecta Math., (N.S.) **7** (2001), 1-56.

[GRK] P. Gabriel, A. V. Roiter and B. Keller, *Algebra VIII: representations of finite-dimensional algebras*, Encyclopaedia of mathematical sciences: V.73.

[Gi] V. Ginzburg *Geometric Methods in Representation Theory of Hecke Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Notes by Vladimir Baranovsky. In *Representation theories and algebraic geometry* (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 127–183, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.

[Gr] J.A. Green, *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), 361-377.

[GL] I.Grojnowski and G. Lusztig, *A comparison of bases of quantized enveloping algebras*, in *Linear algebraic groups and their representations*, Contemp. Math. **153** (1993), 11-19.

[Ive] B. Iversen, *Cohomology of sheaves*, Universitext, Springer/Berlin, 1986.

[J] G.D. James, *The representation theory of symmetric groups*, Lecture Notes in Math. **682**, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1978.

[K] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465-516.

[Li] Y. Li, *Affine quivers of type* $\tilde{A}_n$ *and canonical bases*, preprint, math.QA/0501175.

[Li2] Y. Li, *Affine canonical bases*, Ph.D. thesis, 2006.

[LL] Y. Li and Z. Lin, *AR-quiver approach to affine canonical bases elements*, preprint, math.QA/0610032.

[Lin1] Z. Lin, *Lusztig's geometric approach to Hall algebras*, in *Representations of finite dimensional algebras and related topics in Lie theory and geometry*, 349–364, Fields Inst. Commun., **40**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[Lin2] Z. Lin, *Quiver varieties*, Unpublished.

[LXZ] Z. Lin, J. Xiao and G. Zhang, *Representations of tame quivers and affine canonical bases*, preprint.

[L1] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**(1990), 447-498.

[L2] G. Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 365-421.

[L3] G. Lusztig, *Affine quivers and canonical bases*, Publ. Math. IHES **76** (1992), 111-163.

[L4] G. Lusztig, *Tight monomials in quantized enveloping algebras*, in *Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations* Isr. Math. Conf. Proc. **7** (1993), Amer. Math. Soc. 117-132.

[L5] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser 1993.

[L6] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases and Hall algebras*, in *Representation theories and algebraic geometry* (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 365-399, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.

[N] H. Nakajima, *Crystal, Canonical and PBW bases of quantum affine algebras*, preprint.

[R] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 583-592.

[Re] M. Reineke, *Quivers, desingularizations and canonical bases*, in *Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000)*, 325-344, Progress in Math. **210**, Birkhäuser, 2003.

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, 10 Hillhouse Avenue, P.O. Box 208283, New Haven, CT 06520

E-mail address: `yiqiang.li@yale.edu`